

Quality standards for EU legislation & how to safeguard them

Conference 'Simple is Better'
Amsterdam
October 8 2004



Improving quality: what is the problem?

- What is the problem? What are the standards?
- Studies c.a.
 - Molitor-group 1995 (Legislative & administrative simplification Com (95) 288
 - Koopmans 1995 (The Quality of EC Legislation)
 - Mandelkern 2001 (Mandelkern Group **
 on Better Regulation)

Universal quality standards?

- Not a single 'gold' standard
 - depends on context and function
- Quality =
 - making it 'work'
 - allow legislation to perform its functions: to 'serve and protect'
 - to provide legal certainty & be an instrument to achieve policy goals at the same time

EU standards

- Koopmans 1996, Piris 1998 EU standards
- 1. Necessity (alternatives)
- 2. Legality
 - legal basis, subsidiarity, in accordance with law, etc.)
- 3. The principle of the right fit
 - what was the (cause of the) problem & does the Act solve it?
 - a.k.a. principle of effectiveness



EU standards

- 4. Co-ordination
 - What kind of instrument?
 - Coherence and consistency
 - Margin of discretion (for the Member States)
- 5. Proportional effects
 - Formal or informal impact assessment?
- 6. Implementation & enforcement
- 7. Technical quality (drafting quality, consolidation, accessibility)



- Drafting quality
 - Technical quality (legal quality, clarity, simplicity, internal consistency, translatability, comprehensibility, etc.)
 - Accessibility and consistency of the EC legislation
- Implementation, incorporation and transposition
 - Paper-implementation culture
 - 'Silent' losses good-repute requirement' Directive 96/26 for road haulage operators and road passenger operators - 'serious offence'

- One-dimensional, policy oriented legislative process?
 - Pushing/rushing to 'yes'
 - No systematic assessment of alternatives, or (external & technical) legislative review
 - Focus on achieving policy goals
- Cooperation between legislative partners
 - Horizontal communication between institutions Free access to information on the Environment 90/313
 - Vertical: policy preparation and implementation

- Compliance and enforcement
 - How to cope with deliberate ambiguity?
 - Enforcement expertise, capacity
 - Preserved woods in the Basle regulation 259/93 (Quality, implementation and enforcement 2000)
- Impact assessment
 - Unforeseen administrative, bureaucratic burdens, environmental impact, complications implementation and enforceability, boycotts because of sentiments of oppressiveness & intrusiveness (public procurement legislation?)

- Feedback
 - No feedback-culture no cyclic processes (inception, design, legislation, implementation and review)
- Byzantine corpus of Community legislation
 - Legislative 'flood' (completion of the internal market)
 - Overcomplicated, inaccessible
 - Piece-meal legislation (consolidation/recast)
- (Ab)use of legislative instruments
 - Directives and regulations legal base

- Consultation
 - At what stage?
 - Transparency
- Delayed transposition
- Double play by the Member States?
 - White paper on European Governance 2001
- etc.



Serious problems or politics?

- Gaining Perspective:
 - Problems are the result of the unique EC system, (community method)
 - They come with the territory
 - Muddling through, step-by-step-approach
- Dutch (British, Swedish) preoccupation?
 - Quality problems are in the eye of the beholder
- Result of boycott-strategies of the Member States?
- Blame it on Brussels?





Some effects of poor legislation

- Non-compliance
- Heterogeneous application
- Market distortions
- Damage to competitiveness
 - burden of regulation 2-5% of GDP EU
- Problems with enforcement & compliance
- Delayed or incorrect implementation

Some effects of poor legislation

- Law suits damages
- Ineffectiveness of policies
- Interpretation problems



Remedies

- Legislative policies EC until 2002:
 - Simplifying legislation
 - SLIM-groups (14 projects since 1996, 5th phase)/Best business effect test
 - Interinstitutional Agreement 1998
 - on common guidelines for the quality of drafting of Community legislation (OJ EC 1999 C 73/1) – 22 December 1998
- Stepping it up after 2002





Remedies

- 1. Regulatory impact assessment structure
 - (Action plan Commission) impact analysis ex ante
- 2. New Interinstitutional agreement on Better Law-Making
 - (31 December 2003, OJ C 321, 1)
- 3. Simplification
 - Simplification program codification and consolidation/reducing volume

IA 2003 Better Law Making

- Less technical, more substantial than IA 98
- Common commitment to improve quality
- Better coordination between institutions
 - Multiannual programming/indicative timetable
- Improve transparency and accessibility
- Explanation/justification choice of legislative instrument (legal basis)
- Use of alternative methods of regulation
 - Co-regulation/self regulation

IA 2003 Better Law Making

- Improve implementation of EC Acts
 - New comitology-decision (?) Treaty establishing the Constitution of the EU
 - New competence for the Commission (articles 35-37 European Constitution) – delegated regulations
- Improving the quality of legislation
 - Pre-legislative consultation
 - Impact analysis (ex ante evaluation)
 - Check on consistency of texts





IA 2003 Better Law Making

- Better transposition and application
 - Stricter application of time limits transposition
 - Annual reports on transposition (name & blame)
- Simplifying & reducing the volume of legislation
 - update, condense and simplify legislation
- Strict implementation and monitoring IA
 - Monitoring by High-Level Technical Group for Interinstitutional cooperation
 - Means and resources for staff required for proper implementation

What is Lacking?

- Concept of 'learning' legislative cycle
- Notion of intrusiveness of legislative instruments
 - A. Alternatives to regulation
 - 1. 0-option,
 - 2. Information,
 - 3. Non-legal activities,
 - 4. Economic instruments,





What is Lacking?

- B. General regulatory frameworks
 - Self-regulation
 - Co-regulation
 - Contract compliance
 - General framework rules, etc.
- C. Classic Command and Control Legislation
- Mechanism to prevent legislative proliferation
- New Evaluation (systematic objective)

Will IA 2003 be effective?

- IA 1998
 - 22 Technical guidelines
 - Not very well or widely known (experience EP)
 - IA 2003 more substantive
- Dutch Experience
 - Dutch Recommendations for regulations (1993) 347
 Guidelines (Outlooks for legislation 1990)
 - Success hard to assess (is compliance a success?)
 - Key success factors: awareness, new culture, training, acknowledgement of quality as a relevant dimension, strict application, enforcement

Will IA 2003 be effective?

- How to find the Holy Grail of Good Legislation?
 - Try to learn from experience (evaluation)
 - Frapper toujours' (on notions of legislative quality)
 - Assume responsibility: Legislation is a joint responsibility; everyone involved is responsible
 - Keep the faith



