

## **Annex II**

### **Questions submitted to the participants**

The aim of the seminar is to analyse the challenges that international terrorism poses to international law. The participants are asked to discuss, on the basis of the Chatham house rule, whether there is a need to strengthen and amend international law and what the possibilities are to improve the current framework so as to better address the threat posed by international terrorism.

Specific questions that will be raised include the following:

1. Does a sufficiently comprehensive and coherent vision and strategy to prevent and combat terrorism exist, and if so what are its essential characteristics?
2. To what extent do the current anti-terrorism conventions suffice and which adjustments or improvements are possible and/or necessary?
3. What is the relationship between human rights and the fight against terrorism?
4. How can international humanitarian law be used to combat international terrorism?
  - What role do the Third and Fourth 1949 Geneva Convention play in the combat of international terrorism?
  - Do terrorist organisations come within the realm of international humanitarian law, and if so to what extent?
  - What is the relationship between international humanitarian law and human rights law in the combat of international terrorism?
5. To what extent does domestic law, and in particular criminal law, possible with extraterritorial reach, play a role in the combat of international terrorism?
6. Are the current rules on the use of force sufficiently adapted to the realities of post 9/11?
7. How should the problem of failed/fragile States be addressed in the context of the combat of international terrorism?
8. Is there a need of new instruments or of amending (the scope of) current instruments?