Spearhead	Organisation	Date	Reporting period
V & R	Netherlands Embassy Kabul, Afghanistan	24-09-2013	1st Jan - 31st Dec 2012

<b>Activity numbers</b>	Implementing organisation	Implementation channel	Actual expenditure 2012
22850	Support for AAN	NGO	272,564
21866	MoJ Translation Unit	Government	147,07
22132	AIHRC NGO		787,5
17239	QPEP	NGO	335,712
18794	URU Quality Improv.Educat.	NGO	447,598
19440	Air access Tarin Kowt	NGO	6,44
19466	URU DCU-2 Basic Services	NGO	2,035,925
20260	NI Infra Proj Z-Afg	NGO	10,750,000
20437	ACTD BDC Uruzgan	NGO	43,67
20687	UNDP NABDP fase 3	Multilateraal	1,198,723
21064	SMO awareness drama	NGO	214,865
22118	TLO activities in Uruzgan	NGO	190,118
24022	CoPP+++	NGO	243,55
24079	TK prison renovatie sewage	private sector	303,768
24270	Women's rights and transition	NGO	29,68
24998	CoPP Mentoring at Prov Level	NGO	225
23012	Law Trainings	NGO	59,364
23014	AUP FONDS	Multilateraal	94,336
23016	Women's access to Justice	NGO	476,127
23026	Rule of Law Kunduz	NGO	1,508,094
23243	CPAU resultaatmeting	NGO	154,967
23257	CPAU LGS Kunduz	NGO	477,207
23258	BBC Kunduz	NGO	231,2
23259	GIZ Literacy training	NGO	357,407
23478	COPP training Kunduz	NGO	100

Result area 1			Human	Security		
Question 1.1.a: To what extent did security sector institutions and the security sector as a whole provide services that serve the needs of (various social groups within) society? (outcome, country-level)	are with responsibility reduced	ndrawing from y of Afghan a in compariso	m Afghanistan uthorities. The n to 2011 but	and national number of or remains sign	ternational coa security will be civilian casualtic ificant. The Net e the security in	e the full es has slightly herlands,
	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1: civilian casualties per year	7837 (death + wounded)		7559			UNAMA Annual Report
Indicator 2: increase police force	90.000 (2009)	157.000 (2014)	138.000			LOTFA Annual Report
Question 1.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme-level)	Dutch efforts are aimed at enabling the Afghan authorities to take full responsibility for the security of the Afghan citizens. The Netherlands supports the professionalization of the Afghan army, police and the judicial sector. The Netherlands therefore contributes financially to LOTFA (Afghan National police and the ANA-Trustfunds (Aghan National Army)					nds supports sector. The
Due to the programme:	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 :Public conficence in police force	71% (2010)	85%	90%			UNDP Police Perception Report
Indicator 2: Public confidence in National Army	80% (2010)	85%	N/A			UNDP Police Perception Report
Question 1.2a: Is there progress in developing a functioning and coherent security sector as a system? (outcome, country level)	Afghanistan	. Perceived se	ecurity, provid	ed by the Afg	coherent securi ghan National P improved over	olice, among
	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1: perceived security from crime and violence	64%	75%	81.9%			UNDP Police Perception Report
Question 1.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	See answers under 1.1b					
Question 1.3a: To what extent are seperate security secto institutions and the security sector as a whole internally and externally accountable for their performance? (outcome, country level)	Security sector institutions, in particular the police force, has been more accountable and inclusive towards the Afghan population. The international community maintains special effforts to promote the rights of women in Afghanistan and further equip the police to promote these: violence against women is endemic and rooted in cultural traditions in Afghanistan. There has been some progress in this field.				ternational women in nce against	
	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1: Perceived Afghan National Police involvement in preventing violence againsst women	58%	75%	61.9%			UNDP Police Perception Report

Implications for planning						
D. Results achieved much poorer than planned						
C. Results achieved poorer than planned						
B. Results achieved as planned	schedule.					
A. Results achieved better than planned	Results of the	e Dutch deve	lopment effor	rts in the field	d of human sec	urity are on
Assessment of results achieved across the entire result area, Dutch contribution				В		
			inistry of Inter	_	_	
	FRU's foresee	•		rogrammes v	was agreed on b	etween
	1	•		leted end of	2012, establishi	ment of new
leadership trainingprogrammes for policewomen, with increased level of independent functioning	0	10 (2012)	Delayed <sup>2</sup>			Annual Report
Indicator 2: Needs -assessed literacy, on-the job and						LOTFA
Indicator 1 : New Family response Units entablished	0	7 (2012)	Delayed <sup>1</sup>			Annual Report
	, ,	,	, ,			LOTFA
Due to the programme:	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
<b>Question 1.3b:</b> To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	The Netherlands supports the Afghan National Police to help and support victims of crimes. This is done by empowering women in the police force and the establishment of "Family Response Units".					
Indicator 2 : percentage of the population that deems women in the police force helpful in preventing violence against women	53%	90%	81.3%			UNDP Police Perception Report

Result area 2	Effective rule of law					
Question 2.1a: To what extent did justice sector institutions and the justice sector as a whole (incl. traditional/religious justice systems) provide services that serve the needs of (various social groups within) society? (outcome, country level)	supported justice institu with justice	in Afghanistan has been strengthen by quality civil policing services and utions. Civil policing services are est- e institutions is strengthened. Also, ice system strengthened. Finally, th- and judges has improved as well a	functioning co ablished, polic functional rela e quality and o	poperation be ling quality is ations between capacity of pr	etween the pol enhanced and en the civil poli osecutors, defo	ice and the cooperation ce and the
	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : Access to police	42% (2010)	90% (2014)	75.4%			UNDP Police perception report
Indicator 2 :Public willingness to report crime	50% (2010)	75% (2014)	58%*			UNDP Police perception report
	* percentag	e is the average of the report rates ac	to the police o	of assaults, ro	bberies, burgla	aries and car
Question 2.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	The Netherlands contributes to EUPOL activities in the field of coordination of police prosecutor contribution to the Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA). Efforts of the Netherland combined in three different Coordination between Police and Prosecutor projects and focus on the 34 provinces of Afghanistan					
Due to the programme:	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : % Police and prosecutors staff that participated in CoPP project with satisfactory results	75% (2011)	90% (2013)	N/A			COPP mid term review and annual reports
Indicator 2: Number of trained professionals under COPP train the trainers program	350 (2011)	900 (2014)	596			Idem
indicator 3: Positive feedback of COPP participants on improved cooperation between police and prosecutors	80 (2012)	90 (2015)	Positive, no quantitive data for 2012			EUPOL/GIZ + COPP midterm review and annual progress report
Question 2.2a: Is there progress in developing a functioning justice system that operates as a system? (outcome, country level)	governm	rogress in developing a functioning ent and the international communi are, the Afghan government and into National Priority Program for Law	ty are aimed a ernational con	at improving in	the entire justi most probably	ce chain.
	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : Public stating to have positive interaction with Afghan National Police	80% (2011)	80% (2014)	77%			UNDP police perception Report
Indicator 2 : Favourable public view on Afghan Courts	52% (2011)	75% (2014)	N/A			Idem
Question 2.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	contribution	ands contributes to EUPOL activities to the Law and Order Trust Fund fo three different Coordination betw the 34 provir	or Afghanistan	(LOTFA). Effo I Prosecutor <sub>I</sub>	orts of the Net	herlands are
Due to the programme:	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : Number of trained professionals at provincial and at district level that have undergone police-prosecution cooperation training	350 (2011)	900 (2014)	596			Progress reports EUPOL/GIZ on NL financed COPP
Indicator 2: Police force increased	90000 (2009)	157000	138000			LOTFA, NTM- A
Question 2.3a: To what extent are seperate justice sector institutions and the justice sector as a whole internally and externally accountable for their performance?	Public trust	in the Afghan police and justice systoort crimes. This exemplifies the acc added trust of public in the p	ounatbility of	the police to	the general pu	tion is more
	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : (Improved) access to police	42% (2010)	90% (2013)	75.4%	2020		UNDP Police perception report

Indicator 2 :Public willingness to report crime	50% (2010)	75% (2014)	58%			UNDP Police perception report
Question 2.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?	The Netherlands contributes to EUPOL activities in the field of coordination of police prosecutor and contribution to the Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA). Efforts of the Netherlands at combined in three different Coordination between Police and Prosecutor projects and focus on 30 of the 34 provinces of Afghanistan					herlands are
Due to the programme:	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : Percentage of police and prosecutors that participated in NL funded COPP program with satisfactory results	75% (2011)	90% (2013)	N/A			EUPOL/GIZ + COPP midterm review and annual progress report
Indicator 2: Positive feedback of COPP participants on improved cooperation between police and prosecutors	80 (2012)	90	quantitive data for			Idem
Indicator 2: Number of trained professionals under COPP train the trainers program	350 (2011)	900 (2014)	596			Idem
Assessment of results achieved across the entire result area, Dutch contribution						
A. Results achieved better than planned	Results of the	Dutch development efforts in the	field of rule of	law are on se	chedule.	
B. Results achieved as planned						
C. Results achieved poorer than planned						
D. Results achieved much poorer than planned						
Implications for planning						

Result area 3			Inclusive political pro	cesses		
Question 3.1a: To what extent are the political and peace processes within the target area of your programme effective and inclusive?	(mentioned in res have taken place. 2015: this has how	sult framework Oct The embassy plan wever not been for	embassy, contributions to both the cober 2012) did not take place in 2 s a contribution to the ELECT prog malised. Therefore indicators rela Reintegration Programme though relation to the peace process a	2012 and 2013 gram for the up sted to election or (Stab.Fonds:	so far. In the p pcoming Afgha ns are not answ	past period no elections in elections in 2014 and wered. The Netherlands
		Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : Diversity (sex, religion, geographical representation, ethnicity, political parties) in representation in important institutions (lawmaking bodies, executive power, army, justice institutions)	n.a.					
Indicator 2 : Adequate participation in elections and public governance (for example systemic exclusion of groups) .  Indicator 3: Presence of political and social conflicts (extent	n.a.					
to which conflicts between parties are resolved peacefully/reduction in violent incidents between groups)	n.a.					
Indicator 4: Increased trust in the political or peace process by different groups and citizens	peace process only		38% strong support in 2012 (41% in 2011)			The Asia Foundation: Survey of the Afghan People
Indicator 5: Horizontal inclusiveness in political and peace processes: relevant groups are involved (sex, religion, geographical representation, ethnicity, etc.). Indicator 6: Vertical inclusiveness in political and peace	geographical representation only		Expansion to 31 Peace Councils by end 212	Expansion PPC nationwide		Annual Report 2012 APRP
processes inclusiviteit in politieke en vredesprocessen / access to power: high and low income groups are involved, mobility between different levels.	no information available					
Indicator 7: Level of probity and integrity of political bodies	no information available					
Indicator 8: All relevant aspects of peaceprocess are in place and functioning (space for dialogue, constitution, reconciliationproces, early warning, local commissions, etc.)	available		yes	yes		Annual Report 2012 APRP
Indicator 9: Number/percentage of women who are involved as a political agent in (parts of) the peace process			9/70 female HPC members	9/70 female HPC members		HPC website
Question 3.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
Due to the programme:		Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1: Number of (local and/or national) peacedialogues and meetings with a positive impact	no national figures available					Annual Report 2012 APRP
Indicator 2: Number of people and groups involved in peacedialogues and related processes(horizontal/vertical)	no national figures available					Annual Report 2012 APRP
indicator 3: Number of improved mechanisms (and the description thereof) for interaction between government, groups and citizens	See qualitative description					Annual Report 2012 APRP
Indicator 4: Number of women who have participated in peaceprocesses and dialogues OR number of peaceprocesses in which gender-related aspects or perspectives are taken into account.			9/70 female HPC members	9/70 female HPC members		HPC website
Indicator 5: Number of meditationprocesses supported	n.a.					
Indicator 6: Participation in elections is promoted Please indicate the proportion of the NL support in relation to the overall national budget and in relation to other donor support in the targeted area.	n.a. Suppo	rt of the Netherlan	ds to the APRP is relatively small	Compared to c	ther donors (l	JSD 2,5 mln)
Assessment of results achieved across the entire result area, Dutch contribution	B- C					
A. Results achieved better than planned	The information	available for mo	ost indicators is limited. Theref	ore, the resu	ılt achieved c	an not be
B. Results achieved as planned	determined.					
C. Results achieved poorer than planned						
D. Results achieved much poorer than planned						
Implications for planning						

the state of the s	Employment and providing basic services					
Question 5.1a: To what extent have employment opportunities (self employment and wage employment) improved? If possible, disaggregate by gender, and specify for former combatants, displaced people and young people (up till age 25). Explain regional differences.	Objective: Improved social and economic wellbeing of rural com					unities, by e systems, gh these, basic r access to on projects at the district
	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : GDP	\$ 19,18 Billion	N.A.	unknown			WB
Indicator 2: polulation	53,52 Million	N.A.	unknown			Idem
Indicator 3: School enrolment(primary)	98%	N.A.	unknown			Idem
Indicator4: % of rural population with access to water	42%	N.A.	unknown			Idem
Indicator 5: % of those in working age participating in labour market	66%	N.A.	unknown			Idem
indicator 6: underemployment(% of work force working less than 35 hrs per week)	48%	N.A.	unknown			Idem
indicator : % of thework force active in agriculture	60%	N.A.	unknown			Idem
Question 5.1b: To what extent have your programmes						
	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program i	ict Developen s the means t n the lives and ncreases peop	t Assemblies (I o realise those incimes of ov les trust in go	DDA's) to plane plans. In this er 2 million hovernment (ins	ls and empowers their own devel way the prograr buseholds. Furthe titutions), thus p nce in governme	opment, and meas a direct ermore the providing an
Question 5.1b: To what extent have your programmes contributed to this result?  Due to the programme:	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program in in	ict Developen is the means t in the lives and increases peop incaculable imp	t Assemblies (I o realise those incimes of ov bles trust in go provement in  Result	DDA's) to plar e plans. In this er 2 million ho vernment (ins public confice (Result)	their own devel way the program ouseholds. Further titutions), thus p nce in governme	opment, and in has a direct ermore the providing an int
Due to the programme:  Indicator 1 : Number of households reached by NABDP	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program i i	ict Developen s the means t a the lives and acreases peop acaculable imp	t Assemblies (i o realise those incimes of ov iles trust in go provement in	DDA's) to plar e plans. In this er 2 million ho vernment (ins public confice	their own devel way the program ouseholds. Furthe titutions), thus p	opment, and meas a direct ermore the providing an
contributed to this result?	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program in in Baseline (2011) 1.876.976	ict Developenis the means to the lives and increases peopincaculable implementation (2012)  2.188.280	t Assemblies (I o realise those incimes of ov oles trust in go provement in  Result (2012)  2.302.777  holds have be	DDA's) to plans plans. In this er 2 million hovernment (inspublic confice (Result) 2013	their own devel way the program ouseholds. Further titutions), thus p nce in governme	opment, and in has a direct ermore the providing an int.  Source project reports
Due to the programme:  Indicator 1: Number of households reached by NABDP Programme  Question 5.2a: To what extent has the availability of basic services improved? If possible, disaggregate by	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program in in Baseline (2011) 1.876.976	ict Developenis the means to the lives and increases peopincaculable implementation (2012)  2.188.280	t Assemblies (I o realise those incimes of ov oles trust in go provement in  Result (2012)  2.302.777  holds have be	DDA's) to plans plans. In this er 2 million hovernment (inspublic confice (Result) 2013	their own devel way the program useholds. Further titutions), thus proce in governme (Result) 2014	opment, and in has a direct ermore the providing an int.  Source project reports
Due to the programme:  Indicator 1: Number of households reached by NABDP Programme  Question 5.2a: To what extent has the availability of basic services improved? If possible, disaggregate by gender. Explain regional differences.  Indicator 1: Number of households with access to	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program in in Baseline (2011) 1.876.976 Over two	ott Developenis the means to the lives and increases people acculable implementation (2012)  2.188.280  million house through NA	t Assemblies (i or realise those incimes of ovoles trust in go provement in Result (2012)  2.302.777  holds have beist BDP. The Dist	DDA's) to plan e plans. In this er 2 million ho vernment (ins public confice  (Result) 2013  mefited directl rict Developm  (Result)	their own devel way the program buseholds. Further titutions), thus proceeding government (Result) 2014  y from basic servicent Assemblies	opment, and m has a direct ermore the croviding an int Source project reports
Due to the programme:  Indicator 1: Number of households reached by NABDP Programme  Question 5.2a: To what extent has the availability of basic services improved? If possible, disaggregate by	Rural) Distr also provide impact or program in in Baseline (2011) 1.876.976 Over two Baseline (year)	ott Developenis the means to the lives and increases peopincaculable implementation (2012)  2.188.280  million house through NA  Objective (2015)	t Assemblies (i or realise those incimes of ovoles trust in go provement in Result (2012)  2.302.777  holds have beiged. Result (2012)	DDA's) to plan e plans. In this er 2 million ho vernment (ins public confice  (Result) 2013  mefited directl rict Developm  (Result)	their own devel way the program buseholds. Further titutions), thus proceeding government (Result) 2014  y from basic servicent Assemblies	opment, and m has a direct ermore the croviding an int.  Source project reports  vices offerd  Source project

Indicator 4: Number of households that have benefited from natural disaster protectionworl and training	635.720	671.496	813.886		idem
Indicator 5: Number of houdeholds benefiting from agriculture and irrigation projects	550.360	626.495	599.035		idem
Indicator 6: Number of householdswith access to potable water	79.248	102.056	131.319		idem
Explanation for regional differences					

The total budget of the NABDP program is 160 millon USD, to which the Netehrlands has contributed 15 million USD for the period 2009 - 2012. initially the sypport was earmarked for Uruzgan province, in 2012 a dicision was taken to 'un-earmark' the contribition, The program is one of the few programs that are considered 'on budget' of the Afghan government, and is supported by more than 15 international donors.

Asse	essment of results achieved across the entire result	В					
area	a, Dutch contribution						
A.	Results achieved better than planned	Reasons for results achieved:					
В.	Results achieved as planned	Across the board there were fairly large diverstions form the targets, both positi					
C.	Results achieved poorer than planned	and negatively. Whereas some components overachieved there was serious					
D.	nesants demeted mater poorer than planned	underachievement in others. On average the program more or less achieved what it set out to do. Main reason for underachievement was insecurty in some project areas.					

## Implications for planning

Netherlands has committed to continued support to NABDP in 2013 and 2014. A crucial issue will be anchoring the DDA's in the recently approved national policy for Sub National Government.