	Spearhead	Organi	sation	Reporting Period
	Water	DN	ЛЕ	1st January - 31st December 2012
	Activity Number	Implementing Organisations	Implementing channel	Actual expenditure 2012 (x1000)
I	22168	Simavi (MFS II DSO)	NGO	8.479
ſ	18042	WSSCC/UNOPS	Multilateral	16.227
ľ	20614	Plan NL (Empowering self help sanitation	NGO	1.000
ľ	19866	Waste (Finnish)	NGO	1.205
ľ	17169	Plan NL /Waste Peri urban sanitation)	NGO	0.500
ľ	23710	AgNL SWF (WASH)	Private	1.116
ľ	24234	Wetterskip FUSP II	Private	0
ľ	13341	UN-Habitat (LV watsan) DVF	Multilateral	0
ľ	18540	UN-Habitat (mek watsan)	Multilateral	0.800

Result area 1	Efficient water management, particularly in the agricultural sector
Question 1.1a: To what extent has the crop yield- water consumption ratio sustainably improved in your programme's target area (more crop per drop)?	The semi-arid areas of West Africa are highly vulnerable to climate change. Crop yield-water consumption ratio is still low. Yields of the major cereal crops have stagnated. In the Sahel region millet is a commonly grown staple crop in a low-input cropping system. Yield per unit of water is low. National average yields are about 0,7 t/ha well below the 16,7 kg/ha per mm rainfall in more favorable environments. Improving water productivity also requires more inputs to improve soil fertility, halting large unproductive losses of water (run-off 40% of total annual rainfall, soil loss by erosion 100 tons per ha per year) and better crop management. With moderate management intensity there is a potential of more than 20 percent crop yield increase. Farm trials in Burkina Faso with water retention techniques have increased sorghum yields between 29-71 percent. These trials also showed that small amounts of fertilizer and good farm management, conserving soil moisture increased farm income twofold to fourfold income and output 60- to 90-percent. Experiences in Niger with farmers practicing soil water conservation techniques resulted in an estimated grain surplus of 70% in years of good rainfall and an estimated deficit of 28% in years with low rainfall.
contributed to this result?	The Dick support to the intergoventimental being in Neuritania and Sengal. Changes in the river dynamics caused by the construction of two dams in the 1990s created favourable conditions for *typha", a fresh water reed that had clogged the irrigation systems. This had rendered irrigated agriculture, and fisheries virtually impossible and had caused the spread of water related diseases such as bilharzia and malaria. During 2009-2010, Waterschap Rivierenland developed techniques to remove typha and manage its re-appearance, and during 2011-2012 assisted in training assoliations of local farmers how to implement these. This has made a difference. By 2012, health statistics have improved substantially. So has water productivity. Irrigated crop yields increased as well. In the coming years an additional 23,000 ha of irrigated farmland is expected to benefit of similar improvements (source: Evaluation des Phases 1 et 2 du projet GIRE Trustfund, Grandes lignes pour la Phase 3, July 2013). The Agricultural Smallholder Adaptation Program (ASAP), implemented by IFAD, strengthens farmers' capacity to (re)act on climate change by improving water efficiency, water conservation, introduction of climate resilient crops, better soil management and link these with better access to markets. Small farmers are especially vulnerable because they depend on natural resources for their livelihoods. ASAP covers 10 partner countries. It will cover about 8 million farmers in 2020 of which 50% female. In 2015 farmers will implement better land and water practices on 270.000 ha and use technologies that are climate resilient. Water availability and water efficiency for agriculture will both be improved with 15% and 30% respectively in 2015 and 2020. ICARDA research program : in the Palestinian territories 24 farmers in West Bank and Gaza are part of a pilot to promote safe use of treated waste water, new water-saving technologies and crops using wastewater and grey water. Tests with farmers in Gaza showed that the use o
Assessment of results achieved across the	C
entire result area, Dutch contribution	ř.
A. Results achieved better than planned	Reasons for results achieved: The program is in the start-up phase, several programs will start in 2013.
B. Results achieved as planned	
C. Results achieved poorer than planned	
D. Results achieved much poorer than	
planned	
Implications for planning	
Continue to identify opportunities to improve crop yie	eld-water consumption ratio, among other by preparing Information for Water (I4W) project.

Result area 2						Improved catchment area management and safe deltas					
Question 2.1a: To what extent has the development and implementation of plans for sustainable growth and water security (incl. good governance) progressed in your programme's target area?	According to Human Deve framework to approaches t water, 1992)	According to UN-Water, 56% of the Low Human Development Index Countries have developed integrated water resources management plans, and 34% report the start of implementation. Of the Medium Human Development Index Countries 62% have developed integrated water resources management plans, and 51% report the start of implementation. Countries report improvements to the institutional framework together with improved policies, laws and systems over the past 20 years. This has led to better water resources management practices bringing important socio-economic benefits. Integrated approaches to water resources management and development are critical towards a green economy. (Source: Status Report on the Application of Intergated Approaches to Water Resources Management, UN-water, 1992)									
	Baseline (1992)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source					
Indicator 1: % of Low Human Development Development Countries that has started the application of revised water laws for integrated water reources management.	0%	n.a.	66%			UN-Water					
Indicator 2: % of Low Human Development Development Countries that has changed their water policy according to the integrated approach.	0%	n.a.	58%	T		UN-Water					
Indicator 3: % of Low Human Development Development Countries that has started the implementation of integrated water resources management plans.	0%	n.a.	34%			UN-Water					
result?	Leone, the review of IWRM plans in Jordan, Lebanon and Tunisia and the approval of the national water policy in India. In 2012, the World Bank's Water Partnership Program has contributed to: - essential knowledge to underpin water resources plans in 3 other countries, - capacity development of water management agencies in 3 countries, - essential knowledge to shape a World Bank investment portfolio of around USD 1 billion in irrigation, hydropower and flood protection. - incorporation of climate change dimensions in water in two countries. The DUPC-program with UNESCO-IHE has contributed to research and capacity development on IWRM in Bangladesh, China, Colombia, Ethiopia, Indonesia, Sudan and Uganda. <i>(Source: Worldbank – Water Partnership Program annual report, Global Water Partnership annual report; UNESCO-IHE</i> )										
	Baseline (year)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source					
Indicator 1: Number of policies / strategies accounting for competing water uses.	0	40	3			WPP annual report					
Indicator 2: Number of government agencies with strengthened capacity to address climate change, water security and river basin issues.	0	33	3			WPP annual report					
Question 2.2a: To what extent has there been an improvement in cross- border and joint catchment area management in your programme's target area?	Worldwide the basins lack a	nere are 276 tr ny type of coor	ansboundary perative mana	river basins, of agement systen	f which 64 in A n. ( <i>Source: UN</i>	frica and 60 in Asia. 148 countries include territory within one or more transboundary river basins. 60% of the world's 276 international river <i>I-Water</i> )					
	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source					
Indicator 1: % of Low Human Development Development Countries that have started the implementation of transboundary agreements for specific river basins.	n.a.	n.a.	64%			UN-Water					
Question 2.2b:To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?	The Netherlands supported the cooperation and information sharing in the transboundary water systems of the Incomati, Nile, Senegal and Zambezi river. Joint Water infrastructure development has advanced substantially in the upstream part of the Nile Basin (Equatorial Lakes Region). The DUPC-program with UNESCO-IHE has contributed to research and capacity development in the basins of the Incomati, Nile and Zambezi river. ( <i>Sources: World Bank, Mozambique Ministry of Public Works and Housing, UNESCO-IHE</i> ).										
	Baseline (2011)	Objective (2015)	Result (2012)	(Result) 2013	(Result) 2014	Source					
Indicator 1: number of transboundary river basins with information sharing between all riparian countries	4	7	4	1		NBI, OMVS, MRC annual reports					

Indicator 2: number of transboundary river basins with cooperative water management (irrigation, hydropower, floods etc)		7	3			NBI, OMVS, MRC annual reports		
Indicator 3: Number of transboundary river basins with joint climate-proof water infrastructure development (benefit sharing)		3	2			NBI, OMVS, MRC annual reports		
			1					
Assessment of results achieved across the entire result area, Dutch		B: Results achieved as planned.						
contribution								
A. Results achieved better than planned		The global partners of the Netherlands made good progress on watermanagement. Four major river basin organisations in Africa and Asia progressed well. A new program for Cooperation on International						
B. Results achieved as planned		Waters in Africa with the Worldbank took more time than planned.						
C. Results achieved poorer than planned								
D. Results achieved much poorer than planned								
Implications for planning								
lew commitments for programs on transboundary water management (CIWA, OMVS) need more political support to be effective.								

Results area 3	Access to safe drinking water and improved sanitation							
PQ 3.1a: How many people (male and female) have gained sustainable acces to safe drinking water and improved sanitation and in what way have water related governance issues improved in your area of intervention?	female) drinking what way improved improved improved tinking what way improved improved improved time is used to be the the MDG drinking water target, five years ahead of schedule. Major challenges remain. An estimated 768 million people did not use an imp water in 2011. There are also strong disparities between states and between urban and rural areas. In 2011 64% of the world population relied on improved so however 15% continued to defecate in the open (down from 24% in 1990). The world, therefore remains off track to meet the MDG sanitation target. Again di and within (urban vs. rural) remain strong with the majority of people without access living in Sub-Sahara Africa. There has been a clear shift from focus on pro- aiming for sustainable service delivery and equity. This has been fuelled by an increasing body of evidence that up to one-third of infrastructure (RSWN/2010 a nonfunctional and health benefits are only partially realized. Low levels of funding for maintenance (7%) are also problematic. These issues have played an im- the discussion on the post 2015 WASH targets and indicators. Proposed targets include universal access also in schools and health centers and menstrual hygic UNICEF/WHO pilot is underway to measure water quality (e-coli) in a systematic way as part of regular household surveys. New (mobile phone) technology an private sector play an increasing role in efforts to address maintenance issues and financial sustainability. Women still bear the main burden for collecting drir Africa. It is estimated for 25 countries combined that women spend at least 16 mln hours each day per round trip; men spend 6 mln hours and children 4 mln I governance in the water sector WHO/UN-Water identify an improvement of participation of the community in planning, budgeting and implementation. In 70 however procedures for participation are not systematically implemented. The right to water is increasingly recognized in law, regulation and policy. This is th countries participating in the GLAAS survey. The ri						ges remain. An estimated 768 million people did not use an improved source for drinking- reas. In 2011 64% of the world population relied on improved sanitation facilities, e remains off track to meet the MDG sanitation target. Again disparities between states sub-Sahara Africa. There has been a clear shift from focus on providing infrastructure to of evidence that up to one-third of infrastructure (RSWN/2010 and IOB 2012) becomes ance (7%) are also problematic. These issues have played an important role in shaping al access also in schools and health centers and menstrual hygiene facilities. A regular household surveys. New (mobile phone) technology and involvement of the tainability. Women still bear the main burden for collecting drinking water in sub-Sahara day per round trip; men spend 6 mln hours and children 4 mln hours. In terms of e community in planning, budgeting and implementation. In 70% of the countries is increasingly recognized in law, regulation and policy. This is the case in 80% of the only properly covered in law, regulation and policy in half of the countries. (GLAAS report	
		Baseline (1990)	target (2015)	result (2012)	(result) 2013	(result) 2014	Source	
Indicator 1 : Proportion of people in South Asia using an improved water source	Total (%)	72	86	90			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Urban	90	95	95			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Rural	66	83	88			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
Indicator 2 : Proportion of population in Sub Sahara Africa using an improved water source	Total	49	75	63			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Urban	83	92	84			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Rural	36	68	51			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
Indicator 3 : Proportion of population in South East Asia using an improved water source	Total	71	86	89			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Urban	91	96	94			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Rural	62	81	84			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
Indicator 4 : Proportion of people in South Asia using an improved sanitation faciltiy	Total	24	62	41			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	
	Urban	57	79	64			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database	

	Rural	12	56	54			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database
Indicator 5 : Proportion of population in Sub Sahara Africa using an improved sanitation							WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database
facility.	Total	26	63	30			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011
	Rural	43	60	24			data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database
Indicator 6 : Proportion of population in South East Asia using an improved sanitation facility.	Total	46	73	71			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database
	Urban	68	84	81			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database
	Rural	36	68	62			WHO/UNICEF JPM progress on drinking water and sanitation report (2013 update, 2011 data)/ UN-STATS Millennium indicators database
Indicator 7: Share of functional WASH facilities	Total (%)	na	na	44%			<i>RSWN 2009</i> http://www.rwsn.ch/documentation/skatdocumentation.2009-03-09.7304634330/file. Based on selected (20) African countries, estimates of functioning rural handpumps.
contributed to this result?	Itimited with 400.000 people (90% rural) additional people reached in 2012. At the current rate the 25mln target will not be met in 2017. Institutional facilities (schools, health centres and markets) and rehabilitated facilities are not included in these data. Through the UNICEF (ESARO) programme alone however 1100 schools have realised gender segregated latrines and over 300.000 pupils gained access to safe water. Behaviour change is an important factor for achieving ownership and ultimately health benefits. Well over 7 million people have been reached in 2012 with social marketing, hygiene education and awareness on hygienic behavior, such as hand washing with soap and menstrual hygiene. This has led to investments by households in sanitation infrastructure and more hygienic practices and over 3.600 communities have been declared open defecation free in 2012. Sustainability (FIETS) has become a more prominent feature in most programmes. In 4 programmes (FUSP/UNICEF/WSSCC/UN-HABITAT) sustainability checks have been introduced, or are being developed. In the UNICEF Zambia programme this independent check has resulted in the establishment of a government taskforce on 0&M, training for local artisans and water committees on 0&M and training in management skills for sparepart stores was provided. To minimize environmental damage the FUSP Mozambique programme has developed an innovative lining for pit latrines protecting wells and aquifers from pollution by human excreta. The UN-Habitat Mekong programme focused on strengthening capacity of water service providers. Over 100 staff in Vietnam was trained in finance management and technical water quality aspects and ensured participation of women in the design and implementation of water programmes. The MFS II Wash alliance programme strengthens local partners to play their ' watch dog' role with regards to transparency in the national budget process. During 2012 245 people (175 male/69 female) were trained in budget tracking. The FUSP II programme in Mozambique has p						
		Baseline (2010)	target (2015)	result (2012)	(result) 2013	(result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : Number of people that have gained access to improved watersources through central programmes	Total (%)	0	25.000.000 (2018)	420.000			Annual reports and status updates implementing partners (2011 and 2012). Habitat (totaal : 4jaar), UNICEF, A4A
	Urban (%)	10%	n.a.	42.000			Estimation based on annual reports

	Rural (%)	90%	n.a.	378.000			Estimation based on annual reports
Indicator 2 : Number of people that have gained access to improved sanitation facilities through central programmes	Total (%)	0	25.000.000	2.100.000			Plan, WSSCC, MFSII, WASTE Finnish (86,000), UNHAbitat (4 yr : 4), UNICEF and A4A
	Urban (%)	5%	n.a.	105.000			Estimation based on annual reports
	Rural (%)	95%	n.a.	1.995.000			Estimation based on annual reports
Indicator 4: Number of people that have been reached with hygiene and sanitation related communciation activities	Total	0	n.a.	8.000.000			Annual report WASH alliance 2011, pg10, WSSCC status update 2012 and PLAN Empowering self help sanitation programme, Finnish WASTE, UNHABITAT
Indicator 5: Number of communities and schools that have reached Open Defecation Free status.	Total	0	n.a.	3.600			WSSCC (1.527, pg 3 annual report), PLAN (510 comm, 192 schools), UNICEF 1589 communities
Indicator 6: Share of functional wash facilities.	%	0	n.a.	95%			Outcome sustainability check UNICEF programme (Mozambique 2012)
Resultaaturaan 3.2a. To what extent have	Rusing	ss models. Th	here is a growing re-	alization that com	lex water issues	cannot be solved t	by single actors. The new Dutch policy on foreign trade and development cooperation
model approach been applied in the target area of your WASH programme?	termanagement aspects and a buisiness odel approach been applied in the target area your WASH programme? vour vour vour vour vour vour vour vour					level panel shaping the post 2015 agenda on water. In 2012 the Sustainable Water Fund i). The first call for proposal yielded 12 proposals, of which 9 for WASH in 10 different ht role for the Dutch and local private sector. Several Dutch water utilities are among the ith attention to water resource management. Implementation will start in 2013. es is also initiated from a sustainability perspective. In WASH programmes the up- and The MFS II Environment Alliance has put this point on the global agenda in the World ared new targets for water (post 2015) also include a stronger focus on sustainability	
		Baseline (year)	target (2015)	result (2012)	(result) 2013	(result) 2014	Source
Indicator 1 : The number of countries where new partnerships have been developed to sustainbly manage water resources for example via PPPs and water operator partnerships (WOPs) H		3 (2011)	8 Additional from 2011	3			KNVB football for WASH. Ghana, Kenya and Mozambique approved in 2012.
Indicator 2: The number of cities where an holistic approach on water management is applied as the framework for management of drinkingwater, sanitation, drainage and wastewater.		0 (2011)	10	0			Programme documents.

PQ 3.2b: To what extent has your programme	Financial sustainability is strengthened by developing improving access to financial services and developing business models around water or sanitation service delivery The WASH-
contributed to this result?	Alliance for example has provided loans to 73 private entrepreneurs for sanitation business in Bangladesh. A new partnership has been established in 2012 between the Global Water
	Operator Partnership Alliance and UNESCO-IHE. The aim of this partnership which links academics and practitioners is to ensure experiences with Water Operator Partnerships are well
	documented and shared. The NICHE programme aims at strengthening local knowledge institutions. UNESCO-IHE, Wageningen University and the Asian Institute of Technology work
	together with the Dhaka and Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology to reinforce its programmes, training facilities and research and consultancy services in the area of
	Integrated Water Resource Management. In 2012 a Msc curriculum was developed on Climate Change and Water Engineering. In the UN-Habitat Lake Victoria programme staff of 7
	municipalities and / water providers were trained in urban catchment management. The municipalities involved have adopted this broader context for their urban water and
	sanitation policies. At the global level the WASH alliance has put the importance of maintained and enhanced ecosystem service provision for sustainable WASH service delivery on the
	agenda. It has also built several new partnerships around important themes such as public private partnerships and has during 2011-2012 under taken a successful lobby to include an aspects of sustainability (ELETS) in the Dutch development cooperation policy. The Dating of applications for the Sustainability (ELETS) in the Dutch development cooperation policy.
	Includes an aspects of sustainability (ners) in the butch development cooperation poincy. The kating of applications for the sustainability and long ender
Approical of results of the results area and	
Appraisal of results of the results area and	C C
A Achievement higher than planned	Passans for results achieved
A. Achievement in line with planning	Reasons for results achieved
B. Achievement in line with planning	
C. Achievement lower than planned	
D. Achievement far below planning	
Implications for planning	