Ministerie van Buitenlandse Zaken

Security and rule of law

Organisation	Date	Reporting Period
Netherlands Representative Office Ramallah	August 2014	2013

Activity		2013	Implemented by		Rio marker		Gender marker
Number	Name	Actual expenditure	Name Organisation	channel	mitigation/adaptation	significant/principal	significant/principal
21604 en 25145	RAM Supporting Rule of Law	1.260.000	UNDP/PAPP	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
22973	RAM PCP Programme Fund (incl Beit Leed)	266.983	UNOPS	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Significant
				...	...	...	...
22094	RAM Penitentiary System	775.528	UNODC	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
20179 en 25381	RAM Palestinian Prosecution	280.000 en 640.000	CILC	NGO	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

Activity		2013	Implemented by		Rio marker		Gender marker
Number	Name	Actual expenditure	Name Organisation	channel	mitigation/adaptation	significant/principal	significant/principal
24273	RAM UNDP PCP Accountability	0 (activities took place but no expenditures)	UNDP en EUPOL COPPS	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
25056 en 24448	RAM Pegase 2012 PCP/CD & RAM peg	0 (actvitities took place but no expenditures)	EUREP office	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
25366	OQR Rule of Law	287.138	OQR/UNDP	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
25413	Pegase 2013	3.500.000	EUREP office	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
25980	Pegase 2013 Justice	2.000.000	EUREP office	Multilateral organization	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
25411	AMAN Phase V	128.000	AMAN	NGO	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
21321	AMAN Phase IV	17.164	AMAN	NGO	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...

More activities

Result Area 1	Human Security					
Result Question 1.1a: To what extent did security sector institutions and the security sector as a whole provide services that serve the needs of (various social groups within) society? (outcome, country-level)	The Security and Rule of Law programme of the Netherlands Representative Office in Ramallah (NRO) aims to help improve capable and responsive justice (result area 2) and security services (result area 1), as well as accountability of these services to the Palestinian population. NRO's assistance to the security sector mainly concerns the Palestinian Civil Police (PCP). The more limited Dutch contribution to the (para-military) security forces is via secondment of 5 Dutch military to the US Security Cooperation programme in the PA. Structural reforms in the security sector take time, particularly concerning the scaling down of the number of security forces. Human rights abuses by the SF and PCP remain a matter of attention. Strengthening the transparency and public accountability of the SF and PCP remain a priority. A binding constraint for operation of the SF is the reconciliation under the new interim government and the limited authority of the PA in the WB due to the Israeli occupation.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: The population is satisfied with the performance of the PCP	(2011, 1-4 score, Westbank 2.71)	n.a.	2.61	2.68		UNDP Public Perception Survey
Indicator 2: Number of complaints against the PCP has decreased	348 (2011)	n.a.	394	364 (Westbank)		Independent Commission for Human Rights (ICHR) Annual reports
Indicator 3: Incidence of torture complaints made against security forces	214 (2011)	n.a.	306	ICHR received 497 torture complaints in 2013 (150 of the		Independent Commission for Human Rights (ICHR) Annual reports

Result Question 1.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme-level)	The NL programme contributes to strengthening the institutional capacity, professionalism and service delivery of the SF, including police and prison services. This is done by supporting institutional capacity building as well training programmes, a.o. through the secondment of Dutch civil and military experts. Dutch funding of supporting infrastructure for these forces (i.e. model police station and prison) helps to create the proper physical environment for the forces to carry out their tasks professionally and adds to the confidence of the public in the quality and effectivity of their work. NL furthermore raises HR, transparency and accountability issues in bilateral meetings with PA representatives and it supports the Independent Commission for Human Rights (ICHR) which registers and addresses HR violations by the PA. It finally supports the Rule of Law section of the Office of the Quartet Representative which provides a.o. expert advice and analysis to donors and the PA on institutional development in the justice and security sectors, including the PCP. As co-chair of the local Justice Sector Working Group (JSWG) and active member of the Security Sector Working Group (SSWG) the NRO helps to steer both the PA-institutions and the donor community to a more efficient and effective cooperation and institutionalization of the mandates of the Justice and Security sectors					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Number of high level meetings in which NL raises HR issues with the PA	2 (2012)	3	2	3		BZ/NRO
Indicator 2: PA publishes a formal and substantive reply to the annual report of the ICHR	no (2012)	yes	no	no		Independent Commission for Human Rights (ICHR)
Indicator 3: Number of Dutch military officers seconded to USCC	3 (2012)	3	3	5		NRO/MinDEF

More indicators

Result Area 1	Human Security					
Result Question 1.2a: Is there progress in developing a functioning and coherent security sector as a system? (outcome, country level)	Over the past years significant progress has been achieved by the PA in providing security in the West Bank. The situation is better than at any time since the establishment of the PA due to improved quality and professionalism of the security forces. However, further progress requires continued structural reforms within the sector to further improve the capacity of the sector in terms of personal skills and competences, equipment and logistics and the size and structure of the sector. Furthermore inter-institutional rivalries need to be addressed by creating a clearer delineation of responsibilities between the different security forces as well the PCP and a Palestinian civil defense unit. Improvements are taking place in the sector, but the challenge remains the shift from ad-hoc changes to more institutional and durable improvements. Legitimacy of the security forces and thus the PA may be affected by a lack of such improvements.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: PCP are paid full salaries on a monthly basis	8 full monthly payments/5 months delay 2012	12 monthly payments	8 full monthly payments/5 months delayed	12 full monthly payments		EUREP Office
Indicator 2: All 7 security forces have fully functioning gender units	1 functioning unit: PCP (2012)	yes	1 functioning unit: PCP	all 7 forces have fully functional gender units		EUPOL COPPS/UN Women
Indicator 3: Annual increase of number of female police officers	2012 3% total no. of female officers	At least 20% of PCP officers are women	2012: 270	290		EUPOL COPPS/UNDP Public Perception Survey
Indicator 4: A new strategic plan 2014-2016 for the entire security sector with a clear results framework exists.	2011	yes	n.a.	yes (signed of in 2014)		MoI

Result Question 1.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	3					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Dutch contribution to salaries paid to PCP officers via EU Pegase mechanism	yes (2012)	yes	yes	yes		EUREP office
Indicator 2: Dutch funded new police station in Beit Leed completed and fully operational (personnel, equipment)	start construction (2011)	Construction completed and station fully operati	Construction ongoing	finalized and handed over to PCP Nov 2013		UNOPS/EUPOL COPPS reports
Indicator 3: Secondment of Dutch gender expert within the PT	2011	1	1	1 (until January 2014)		NRO/BZ/EUPOL COPPS

More indicators

Result Area 1	Human Security					
Result Question 1.3a: To what extent are separate security sector institutions and the security sector as a whole internally and externally accountable for their performance? (outcome, country level)	Internal and external accountability of the security sector institutions are improving. One of the priorities identified in the Palestinian National Development Plan 2014-2016 involves strengthening the transparency and public accountability of the security institutions in order to build trust among citizens and ensure protection of their rights. Internal mechanisms are being introduced and developed with donor assistance. External accountability is mainly achieved through civil society in the absence of a functioning Palestinian parliament.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Inspector General of MoI appointed and mandated to investigate complaints against SF/PCP	no (2012)	yes	no	yes.		MoI/EUPOL COPPS
Indicator 2: Confidence PCP by gender and age group has increased	2012	N.a.	Male: 2.71/4; Female: 2.71/4 Age 18-35: 2.6/4	male: 2.81 female: 2.93 age 18-25: 2.85		UNDP Public Perception Survey
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 1.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Internal PCP accountability mechanism in place and functioning.	Draft accountability strategy (2012)	Functioning internal accountability mec	Draft accountability strategy	mechanism to be rolled out		UNDP/EUPOL COPPS
Indicator 2: Security sector institutions are transparent and accountable to external actors, notably cso.	2012	Functioning external accountability mec	CSO Mapping and Development of Engagement Strate	finalised		UNDP/EUPOL COPPS
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 1	Human Security
Select results (A/B/C/D)...	"Reasons for results achieved:The Palestinian security sector is in terms of size (budget and personnel), equipment/logistics, mandates/responsibilities and funding the largest and most powerful sector in the PA. These factors, as well as the relatively large number of different institutions that this sector consists of, the rivalries between them, the politically and territorially fragmentated theatre (Gaza/Hamas - Westbank/PA - Israel) in which they have to operate, and the lack of parliamentary oversight make them quite autonomous and impervious to change. Reforms therefore take place at a slow pace. However, pressure and demands from citizens and civil society and concerted and targeted efforts from key sector donors, among them NL, bring about slow but gradual positive changes within the institutions. The pace and sustainability of these changes depends on the abovementioned binding constraints."
Reasons for result achieved:	
Implications for planning:	The PA has finalized its new security sector strategy for 2014-2016. Funding for new activities that are foreseen in this document will be discussed amongst the security sector working group and with the EU donors, in order to coordinate as efficiently as possible.

Result Area 2	Effective Rule of Law					
Result Question 2.1a: To what extent did justice sector institutions and the justice sector as a whole (incl. traditional/religious justice systems) provide services that serve the needs of (various social groups within) society? (outcome, country level)	The Security and Rule of Law programme of the Netherlands Representative Office in Ramallah (NRO) aims to help improve capable and responsive justice (result area 2) and security services (result area 1), as well as accountability of these services to the Palestinian population. Important steps have been taken in the past years to improve the quality and accessibility of the institutions, a.o. in terms infrastructure and hardware, staff training and gender sensitiveness. The investments in the sector seem to pay dividends. The Palestinian public's perception of the justice institutions is generally positive, though they are more satisfied with the technical performance (e.g. number of judges, court sign posting, lawyers' qualifications) than their transparency (i.e. 'independence of judiciary', 'fair trial'). Further improvements are therefore needed to increase the public confidence in the sector, f.i. concerning roles and responsibilities of the institutions, coordination and cooperation, legislation, implementation of judicial decisions and prosecution of civilians in military courts.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1 : The population is satisfied with the performance of the justice sector (%)	2,57 (2011)	n.a.	2,55.	2.61		UNDP Public Perceptions Survey (scale 1-4).
Indicator 2 : Confidence of women in the justice sector system	3,08 (2012)	n.a.	3,08	3.10		UNDP Public Perceptions Survey (scale 1-5)
Indicator 3: Number of complaints against judicial institutions decreased	12 (2011)	n.a.	High Judicial Council: 28/ Public Prosecution: 42	HJC 5 PP 47		ICHR annual report

Result Question 2.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	NL is the co-chair of the Justice Sector Working Group (JSWG) and one of the lead donors in the justice sector. NL is therefore well-informed and well-positioned to make a contribution to improvements within Palestinian justice institutions focused at serving the population in a professional, impartial and transparent manner. NL raises developments that run counter to this with the PA, in coordination with other donors. NL furthermore contributes to strengthening the judicial services provided by the PA through its support of the UNDP Access to Justice programme, the Rule of Law unit of the Office of the Quartet Representative, contributions (experts) to the EUPOL COPPS missions as well as the payment of salaries of justice sector personnel through the EU Pegase mechanism. NL furthermore seconds several civilian justice experts in the PT who provide training and advice to the judicial institutions.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Number of Dutch civilian experts seconded in the PT	5 (4 EUPOL COPPS, 1 UNDP) (2012)	n.a.	5 (4 EUPOL COPPS, 1 UNDP)	4 (3 EUPOL COPPS, 1 UNDP)		NRO/BZ
Indicator 2: Number of legal aid clinics organised to support vulnerable groups in Palestinian society, such as destitute families and women	Gaza: 18 / WB: 4 (2012)	n.a.	Gaza: 18 / WB: 4	Gaza: 15 / WB: 5		UNDP Access to Justice Programme
Indicator 3: Legislation regulating the jurisdiction of military courts, including non prosecution of civilians, is in place and respected	no (2012)	yes	no	no new developments		Justice Sector Strategy
Indicator...						

More indicators

Result Area 2	Effective Rule of Law					
Result Question 2.2a: Is there progress in developing a functioning justice system that operates as a system? (outcome, country level)	The PA has finalized the National Development Plan for the years 2014-2016. A comprehensive justice sector strategy is one of the main components of this plan. The JSWG in which the justice institutions and donors coordinate their justice sector related plans and programs is a key forum for discussing developments, challenges and priorities in the sector. It is also a platform for the PA-institutions and the donor community to review the implementation of the new strategy. NRO plays a pivotal role in this sector as co-chair of the JSWG. Through the JSWG and the bodies around this working group the PA and donor countries work together towards the strengthening of the justice sector. By means of the Pegase mechanism salary payments to civil servants in the justice sector are ensured, thus guaranteeing the running of a functioning system.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Justice sector staff are paid full salaries on a monthly basis	2012	12 monthly payments	8 full monthly payments/5 months delayed pa	uitgezet bij EUREP		EUREP Office
Indicator 2: Clearly defined mandates and scope of responsibilities exist for the 3 judicial insitutions: Ministry of Justice, judiciary and public prosecution.	2011	(Legal) framework is in place and adhered to	no	no		MoJ
Indicator 3: A new strategic plan 2014-2016 for the entire Justice sector with a clear results framework exist	2013	yes	n.a.	review 2011-2013 finalised and draft 2014-16 finalised		MoJ

Result Question 2.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)	NL substantially contributes to the Pegase mechanism in order to provide for the salaries of civil servants active in the justice sector. In the context of its leading role in the JSWG, the NL is furthermore closely involved in discussions on the justice sector strategy for the upcoming years, which is laid down in the PND for 2014-2016. NL also is the lead donor of the judicial watchdog MUSAWA for the years 2013-2015. NRO continued to monitor and advise the relevant stakeholders, in both the PA and the donor community, on a range of issues pertaining to the strengthening of the justice sector. Among its activities, the NRO identified key priorities for justice sector reform and worked with PA officials and donors on a proposed mechanism for comprehensive reform; provided strategic guidance and technical assistance for the development of the Justice Sector Strategic Plan for 2014-16; reviewed and provided input on the Security Sector Strategic Plan; advised on steps to advance the institutionalization of the Ministry of Justice.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: Dutch contribution to salaries paid to justice sector officials through EU Pegase mechanism	yes (2012)	yes	yes	n.a.		NRO/EUREP Office
indicator 2: Number of JSWG and JSWG preparatory meetings with the PA	4 (2012)	6	4	7 (1 JSWG, 6 preparatory meetings)		NRO/LACS
Indicator 3: An improved database of donor funded activities in place (DARP)	No (2012)	DARP revised, up-to-date and complete	No	No		Ministry of Planning (MOPAD)/ NRO

More indicators

Result Area 2	Effective Rule of Law					
Result Question 2.3a: To what extent are separate justice sector institutions and the justice sector as a whole internally and externally accountable for their performance?	Justice sector institutions need to strengthen their internal inspections, monitoring and evaluations, disciplinary and complaints systems to ensure professional performance and accountability of their staff and to take measures against those violating ethical rules or the law. Public legitimacy of and confidence in the institutions depends on these steps being taken. Various organizations supported by the Netherlands play a role in scrutinizing the performance of the institutions and their staff, notably the ICHR, the Anti-Corruption Commission and the Corruption Crimes Court, Musawa and AMAN. Their impact needs to be improved however.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1 : PA publishes a formal and substantive reply to the annual report of the Palestinian Independent Commission for Human Rights (ICHR)	no (2012)	yes	no	no		ICHR
Indicator 2 : Increase of number of cases: referred by the Anti-Corruption Commission (PACC) to Public Prosecution (PP); referred by PP to Anti-Corruption Court (CCC); convicted by CCC	2010/2011: PACC: 24 /PP: 10 /CCC:3	n.a.	PACC: 35/ PP: 26/CCC: 8	PACC: 25/PP: 19/CCC: 8		UNDP
Indicator 3: Complaints registration and follow up mechanism within MoJ, High Judicial Council (HJC) and Attorney General's Office (AGO) exists	no (2012)	Complaints registration/Follow-mechanism operational	no	Complaints registration: yes		MoJ, HJC, AGO

Result Question 2.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?	NL has regular discussion with high-level PA representatives on the accountability of actors within the justice sector. Within the Justice Sector Working Group and the preparatory meetings with the PA the accountability of the justice sector remains an issue that is addressed. Accountability mechanism and systems for the registration of complaints within MoJ, AGO and HJC have been supported by Dutch contributions to UNDP/EUPOL. NL furthermore supports AMAN, the Palestinian Transparency International chapter, which work towards an increased transparency and accountability of the different justice sector institutions, amongst others by providing trainings and workshops.					
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator 1: number of meetings with high-level PA reps/JSWG in which accountability is addressed	4 (2012)	6	4	5 (2 x MoI- SSWG, 1 x JSWG, CdP MoI en MRA-MoI)		NRO
Indicator 2: legislation to protect corruption whistleblowers is in place and respected	no (2012)	yes	no	yes		PACC/AMAN

More indicators

Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 2	Effective Rule of Law
Select results (A/B/C/D)...	
Reasons for result achieved:	"Reasons for results achieved:Like the security sector the judicial sector consists of different institutions which compete rather than cooperate and coordinate, mainly due to rivalries at the highest level, and unclear mandates and responsibilities. In addition the donor landscape is fragmented and the capacity and willingness of the justice sector institutions to play a pro-active and leading role in coordinating donor and PA activities in this sector is limited. The Israeli occupation and the political division of the WB and Gaza further constrain the control and impact of the institutions. Nevertheless gradual progress is being achieved in the sector due to a concerted efforts of key donors, civil society, UN agencies and motivated and qualified Palestinian justice sector staff. The NRO plays a central role in this process as co-chair of the JSWG, giving it easy access to the PA at the highest levels, providing it with an excellent information position and giving it an opportunity to help push the justice sector, including the projects and programmes it funds, in the right direction. "
Implications for planning:	The PA is currently preparing its new security sector strategy for 2014-2016. Funding for new activities that are foreseen in this document will be discussed amongst the security sector working group and with the EU donors, in order to coordinate as efficiently as possible. This might result in the adjustmenst of the Rule of Law Programme and security sector specific interventions of the NRO.

Result Area 3	Inclusive Political Processes					
Result Question 3.1a: To what extent are the political and peace processes within the target area of your programme effective and inclusive?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 3.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 3	Inclusive Political Processes
Select results (A/B/C/D)...	
Reasons for result achieved:	
Implications for planning:	

Result Area 4	Legitimate and Capable Government					
Result Question 4.1a: To what extent are government institutions better able to perform their core tasks, in your programmes target area?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 4.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Result Area 4	Legitimate and Capable Government					
Result Question 4.2a: To what extent has the transparency of the government improved in your programme's target area? And is corruption being addressed?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 4.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Result Area 4	Legitimate and Capable Government					
Result Question 4.3a: Has progress been made in supporting democracy, in your programme's target area?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 4.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 4	Legitimate and Capable Government
Select results (A/B/C/D)...	
Reasons for result achieved:	
Implications for planning:	

Result Area 5	Peace Dividend					
Result Question 5.1a: To what extent has employment opportunities (self-employment and wage employment) improved? If possible, disaggregate by gender, and specify for former combatants, displaced people and young people (up till age 25). Explain regional differences.						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 5.1b: To what extent have your programmes contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Result Area 5	Peace Dividend					
Result Question 5.2a: To what extent has the availability of basic services improved? If possible, disaggregate by gender. Explain regional differences.						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

Result Question 5.2b: To what extent have your programmes contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						
Indicator...						

More indicators

Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 5	Peace Dividend
Select results (A/B/C/D)...	Reasons for results achieved
Reasons for result achieved:	
Implications for planning:	

Back

Result Area 1 (remaining indicators)	Human Security					
Result Question 1.1a: To what extent did security sector institutions and the security sector as a whole provide services that serve the needs of (various social groups within) society? (outcome, country-level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 1.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme-level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 1

Result Area 1 (remaining indicators)	Human Security					
Result Question 1.2a: Is there progress in developing a functioning and coherent security sector as a system? (outcome, country level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 1.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 1

Result Area 1 (remaining indicators)	Human Security					
Result Question 1.3a: To what extent are separate security sector institutions and the security sector as a whole internally and externally accountable for their performance? (outcome, country level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 1.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 1

Result Area 2 (remaining indicators)	Effective Rule of Law					
Result Question 2.1a: To what extent did justice sector institutions and the justice sector as a whole (incl. traditional/religious justice systems) provide services that serve the needs of (various social groups within) society? (outcome, country level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 2.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 2

Result Area 2 (remaining indicators)	Effective Rule of Law					
Result Question 2.2a: Is there progress in developing a functioning justice system that operates as a system? (outcome, country level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 2.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result? (output, programme level)						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 2

Result Area 2 (remaining indicators)	Effective Rule of Law					
Result Question 2.3a: To what extent are separate justice sector institutions and the justice sector as a whole internally and externally accountable for their performance?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 2.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 2

Result Area 3 (remaining indicators)	Inclusive Political Processes					
Result Question 3.1a: To what extent are the political and peace processes within the target area of your programme effective and inclusive?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicators...						
Extra indicators...						
Extra indicators...						
Extra indicators...						

Result Question 3.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicators...						
Extra indicators...						
Extra indicators...						
Extra indicators...						

Back to result area 3

Result Area 4 (remaining indicators)	Legitimate and Capable Government					
Result Question 4.1a: To what extent are government institutions better able to perform their core tasks, in your programmes target area?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 4.1b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 4

Result Area 4 (remaining indicators)	Legitimate and Capable Government					
Result Question 4.2a: To what extent has the transparency of the government improved in your programme's target area? And is corruption being addressed?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 4.2b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 4

Result Area 4 (remaining indicators)	Legitimate and Capable Government					
Result Question 4.3a: Has progress been made in supporting democracy, in your programme's target area?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 4.3b: To what extent has your programme contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 4

Result Area 5 (remaining indicators)	Peace Dividend					
Result Question 5.1a: To what extent has employment opportunities (self-employment and wage employment) improved? If possible, disaggregate by gender, and specify for former combatants, displaced people and young people (up till age 25). Explain regional differences.						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 5.1b: To what extent have your programmes contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 5

Result Area 5 (remaining indicators)	Peace Dividend					
Result Question 5.2a: To what extent has the availability of basic services improved? If possible, disaggregate by gender. Explain regional differences.						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Result Question 5.2b: To what extent have your programmes contributed to this result?						
	Baseline	**Target 2015**	**Result 2012**	**Result 2013**	**Result 2014**	**Source**
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						
Extra indicator...						

Back to result area 5

Extra Activities		2013	Implemented by		Rio marker		Gender marker
Number	Name	Actual expenditure	Name Organisation	channel	mitigation/adaptation	significant/principal	significant/principal
	M-BUZ client cert Digitally signed by M-BUZ client cert DN: c=NL, o=M-BUZ, cn=M-BUZ client cert Date: 2014.09.29 08:58:23 +02'00'			...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...
				...	...	...	...

Go to result area 1