

Evaluation of the EU Animal Welfare Strategy 2012-2015

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The European Union has been promoting animal welfare for over 40 years gradually improving the lives of farm animals. The EU has among the world's highest standards of animal welfare. The overall framework for EU action on animal welfare is set out in the [EU Animal Welfare Strategy 2012-2015](#) ('the Strategy').

The main objectives of the Strategy were to:

1. consider simplifying the EU legislation on animal welfare;
2. support Member States to improve compliance with animal welfare legislation;
3. improve EU level knowledge of certain welfare issues, such as the welfare of farmed fish;
4. promote EU animal welfare standards globally;
5. improve synergies with the Common Agriculture Policy (CAP) and other EU policies;
6. and better inform consumers and the general public about animal welfare.

To achieve these objectives, the Strategy had set [20 actions](#) to be implemented between 2012 and 2015.

The European Commission will use information collected through this public consultation, together with other data, to evaluate the Animal Welfare Strategy.

If you are unable to use the online questionnaire, please contact us: SANTE-CONSULT-G2@ec.europa.eu

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- Gaelic

- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

* First name

* Surname

* Email (this won't be published)

* Scope

- International
- Local
- National
- Regional

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg | <input type="radio"/> Samoa |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt | <input type="radio"/> Macau | <input type="radio"/> San Marino |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Malawi | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica | <input type="radio"/> Estonia | <input type="radio"/> Maldives | <input type="radio"/> Serbia |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Eswatini | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Malta | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Singapore |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands | <input type="radio"/> Martinique | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten |
| <input type="radio"/> Australia | <input type="radio"/> Fiji | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Slovakia |
| <input type="radio"/> Austria | <input type="radio"/> Finland | <input type="radio"/> Mauritius | <input type="radio"/> Slovenia |
| <input type="radio"/> Azerbaijan | <input type="radio"/> France | <input type="radio"/> Mayotte | <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahamas | <input type="radio"/> French Guiana | <input type="radio"/> Mexico | <input type="radio"/> Somalia |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahrain | <input type="radio"/> French Polynesia | <input type="radio"/> Micronesia | <input type="radio"/> South Africa |

- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Clipperton | <input type="radio"/> Jamaica | <input type="radio"/> Peru | <input type="radio"/> United States |
| <input type="radio"/> Cocos (Keeling) Islands | <input type="radio"/> Japan | <input type="radio"/> Philippines | <input type="radio"/> United States Minor Outlying Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Colombia | <input type="radio"/> Jersey | <input type="radio"/> Pitcairn Islands | <input type="radio"/> Uruguay |
| <input type="radio"/> Comoros | <input type="radio"/> Jordan | <input type="radio"/> Poland | <input type="radio"/> US Virgin Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Congo | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan | <input type="radio"/> Portugal | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Qatar | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo | <input type="radio"/> Réunion | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait | <input type="radio"/> Romania | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan | <input type="radio"/> Russia | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao | <input type="radio"/> Laos | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus | <input type="radio"/> Latvia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy | <input type="radio"/> Yemen |
| <input type="radio"/> Czechia | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Zambia |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia | |

* Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Awareness

* How familiar are you with the EU Animal welfare strategy?

- Not at all familiar
- Somewhat familiar
- Moderately familiar
- Very familiar

- Extremely familiar
- Don't know

Which actions planned in the Strategy are you familiar with?

	Not at all familiar	Somewhat familiar	Moderately familiar	Very familiar	Extremely familiar	Don't know
* Actions to improve compliance (e.g. grouping of sows, protection of laying hens, etc).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Guidelines (e.g. on transport, slaughter or the protection of pigs)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Reports to EU institutions (e.g. on the various stunning methods for poultry, the impact of animal welfare international activities on competitiveness, etc).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Studies (e.g. on the welfare of farmed fish at the time of transport and at slaughter, on animal welfare education to the general public, etc).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* International cooperation on animal welfare (e.g. multilateral, bilateral and capacity building activities).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Actions to improve the integration of animal welfare in the Common Agricultural Policy.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Communication and education activities targeting consumers and the general public.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

<p>* Other types of actions or activities (e.g. the establishment of reference centers, training activities, a possible legislative proposal for a simplified EU legislative framework for animal welfare)</p>	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Please specify all the actions or activities that you are familiar with.

1000 character(s) maximum

EC-actions on ensuring implementation ban on barren layer cages and implementation group housing of pregnant sows by Member States
 Animal transport Guides, brochure on AW officer, Commission Recommendation on avoiding tail docking of piglets
 (EFSA) Reports on stunning of Poultry, Animal Welfare aspects of slaughter or killing of pregnant livestock animals, etc.
 Commission's report on the impact of animal welfare international activities
 Input in the OIE standard setting process concerning AW
 EU reference centre for AW concerning Pigs.

Relevance

To what extent are the issues targeted by the Strategy still relevant today (2020)?

	Not at all relevant	Somewhat relevant	Moderately relevant	Very relevant	Extremely relevant	Don't know
* Non-compliance due to a lack of awareness among animal owners and handlers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Non-compliance due to a lack of enforcement by national competent authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Non-compliance due to weak incentives for businesses to comply	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* A lack of information among consumers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* A need to simplify the legal framework	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* A lack of knowledge on the welfare of farmed fish	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Insufficient use of synergies with the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* An uneven level of protection for different animal species in the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* An uneven level playing field (EU and global market) for EU business operators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Where (for which species, in which sectors and/or countries) is there a lack of compliance with EU animal welfare legislation?

- Pigs (Council Directive 2008/120/EC)
- Laying Hens (Council Directive 1999/74/EC)
- Broilers (Council Directive 2007/43/EC)
- All farmed animals (Council Directive 98/58/EC)
- Slaughter (Council Regulation (EC) N° 1099/2009)
- Transport (Council Regulation EC 1/2005)
- Other
- Do not know

Please specify the sectors and countries for each option selected.

1000 character(s) maximum

* Are there other current animal welfare issues which are not reflected in the Strategy?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

Please indicate which welfare issues are not reflected in the Strategy.

400 character(s) maximum

Problems with long transports of animals
Lacking of specific legislative EU welfare standards for more - commercially kept and traded - animal species /categories
AW problems at the stage of catching poultry for transport for slaughter
Lacking of EU legislation for imposing EU-wide professional bans concerning working with animals /livestock farming and an EU-wide blacklist of the persons involved

Coherence

* How coherent with each other were the Strategy's actions?

- Not at all coherent
- Somewhat coherent
- Moderately coherent
- Very coherent
- Extremely coherent
- Don't know

* Are you aware of other national, EU or international interventions in the field of animal welfare that took place at the same time as the Strategy?

- Yes
- No

Please specify which interventions you are familiar with.

600 character(s) maximum

Establishment of EU Platform on Animal Welfare
 Further development of OIE standards for AW
 In NL the EU guides to good practices for transport of cattle and pigs will be leading for industry and competent authorities
 In NL regulation on stunning of Eel before slaughter was introduced
 In NL research was carried out and parameters were established on stunning of several fish species & research on water quality parameters for recirculation systems

To what extent do you agree with the following statements on the coherence of the Strategy with other interventions?

The Strategy was coherent with...

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
* the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* animal health EU policies and interventions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* other EU-level policies and interventions related to animal welfare (e.g. environment, trade, single market, fisheries, and research)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* national policies and interventions related to animal welfare (e.g. environment, trade, single market, fisheries, and research)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* non-EU interventions related to animal welfare (e.g. interventions by a third country, or by an international organisation such as the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO))	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Could you specify areas of tension between the Strategy and other interventions with an incidence on animal welfare (EU, Member States, international organisations)?

600 character(s) maximum

Free Trade Agreements, when liberalizing market access for developed countries and emerging economies to the EU via preferential tariff rate quota or tariff rate reductions/eliminations for animal products like eggs & egg products and (pig) meat - for which the EU knows specific AW legislation - lead to a more uneven playing field on the EU market if the FTA partner has lower AW standards than the EU;
Facilitating export of live animals for slaughter to third countries with weak animal welfare standards, especially concerning transport and slaughter, impairs animal welfare unnecessarily

Effectiveness

To what extent did the EU Strategy contribute to:

	No contribution	Some contribution	Moderate contribution	Fairly significant contribution	Great contribution	Don't know
* a better protection of animals across species in the EU?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* improving compliance by supporting Member State enforcement?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* improving compliance by increasing awareness among animal owners and handlers?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* improving compliance by strengthening/developing incentives for businesses to comply?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* improving consumer information?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* improving knowledge and evidence on the welfare of farmed fish?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* promoting EU animal welfare standards at global level?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* improving the use of synergies with the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* creating a more level playing field (EU and global market) for EU businesses?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

To what extent did the following factors influence the implementation of the EU Animal Welfare Strategy?

	Have hindered the implementation to a great extent	Have hindered the implementation to some extent	Have not influenced the implementation	Have facilitated the implementation to some extent	Have facilitated the implementation to a great extent	Don't know
* Political factors (e.g. changing political priorities)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Economic factors (e.g. market trends)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Social factors (e.g. cultural changes, changes in consumer /citizens' expectations)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* Scientific or technological factors (e.g. advances in animal welfare science)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Which actions/activities of the Strategy were the most effective in achieving their objectives?

- Actions to improve compliance (e.g. grouping of sows, protection of laying hens, etc).
- Guidelines (e.g. on transport, slaughter or the protection of pigs)
- Reports to EU institutions (e.g. on the various stunning methods for poultry, the impact of animal welfare international activities on competitiveness, etc).
- Studies (e.g. on the welfare of farmed fish at the time of transport and at slaughter, on animal welfare education to the general public, etc).
- International cooperation on animal welfare (e.g. multilateral, bilateral and capacity building activities).
- Actions to improve the integration of animal welfare in the Common Agricultural Policy.
- Communication and education activities targeting consumers and the general public.
- Other types of actions or activities (e.g. the establishment of reference centers, training activities, a possible legislative proposal for a simplified EU legislative framework for animal welfare).

Please specify the actions/activities that you feel were most effective.

600 character(s) maximum

EC-actions on ensuring implementation ban on barren layer cages and implementation group housing of pregnant sows by Member States

* Which actions/activities of the Strategy were the least effective in achieving their objectives?

- Actions to improve compliance (e.g. grouping of sows, protection of laying hens, etc).
- Guidelines (e.g. on transport, slaughter or the protection of pigs)
- Reports to EU institutions (e.g. on the various stunning methods for poultry, the impact of animal welfare international activities on competitiveness, etc).
- Studies (e.g. on the welfare of farmed fish at the time of transport and at slaughter, on animal welfare education to the general public, etc).
- International cooperation on animal welfare (e.g. multilateral, bilateral and capacity building activities).
- Actions to improve the integration of animal welfare in the Common Agricultural Policy.
- Communication and education activities targeting consumers and the general public.
- Other types of actions or activities (e.g. the establishment of reference centers, training activities, a possible legislative proposal for a simplified EU legislative framework for animal welfare).

Efficiency

* How familiar are you with the funding and human resources associated with the Strategy?

- Not at all familiar
- Somewhat familiar
- Moderately familiar
- Very familiar
- Extremely familiar
- Don't know

To what extent do you agree with the following statements about the funding and human resources provided for the implementation of the EU Animal Welfare Strategy?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
* The activities outlined in the Strategy received sufficient funding	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* The human resources provided for the implementation of the Strategy were sufficient	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Considering the costs of the actions and the results achieved, the benefits outweigh the costs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* To what extent were the funding and human resources associated with the Animal Welfare Strategy appropriate both given the challenges the Strategy faced and its achievements?

- Not at all appropriate
- Somewhat appropriate
- Moderately appropriate
- Very appropriate
- Extremely appropriate
- Don't know

To what extent do you agree with the following statements about the added value of the Strategy?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know

* The Strategy brought benefits in the field of animal welfare, or related fields, that could not have been achieved through national interventions alone.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* The Strategy had a negative impact on existing national interventions in the field of animal welfare, or related fields.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Animal welfare issues across the EU called for EU action.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* The Strategy brought benefits that the EU could not have achieved without it.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* To what extent did the Strategy simplify and develop clear principles for animal welfare by other means than new legislation?

- Not at all
- To some extent
- To a moderate extent
- To a significant extent
- To a great extent
- Don't know

EU Added Value

Are there any other views on the Strategy that you would like to share? Please note that you may upload a document/position paper as part of your response to this consultation.

600 character(s) maximum

Important:

Improve and supplement current legislative provisions on animal welfare, also enabling adequate application and harmonised enforcement of EU regulations (e.g. on transport and on pig welfare);
 Introduce specific legislative EU welfare standards for more, commercially kept and traded animal species;
 Introduce EU legislation for imposing EU-wide professional bans concerning working with animals /livestock farming and an EU-wide blacklist of the persons involved;
 Promote animal welfare standards and a level playing field in non-EU countries (at the level of the EU minimum standards)

Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Contact

SANTE-CONSULT-G2@ec.europa.eu